

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,132	10/14/2005	Raymond Hesline	HESL0101PUSA	1661
22045 BROOKS KUS	7590 05/04/200 SHMAN P.C.	7	EXAMINER	
1000 TOWN CENTER			CHAPEL, DEREK S	
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			TH
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.7
Notice of Non-Compliant	10/553,132	HESLINE, RAY	MOND
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Derek S. Chapel	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	•	•	
The amendment document filed on <u>20 February 2007</u> in requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the a tem(s) is required.			
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE  1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not includ B. New paragraph(s) should not be und C. Other	le markings.	3E NON-COMPLI	IANT:
<ul><li>2. Abstract:</li><li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 3</li><li>B. Other</li></ul>	37 CFR 1.72.		
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identif</li> <li>"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed showing amended figures, without m</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	CFR 1.121(d). drawing correction has been elimir	nated. Replaceme	ent drawings
<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include</li> <li>☐ C. Each claim has not been provided w of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following (Previously presented), (New), (Not claims of this amendment paper)</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper)</li> <li>☐ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet</li> </ul>	e the text of all pending claims (incleith the proper status identifier, and Note: the status of every claim must status identifiers: (Original), (Currentered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the indivist be indicated after the indicated after the indicated after the indicated as a such a	ridual status er its claim Canceled), ended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or	not signed in accordance with 37 (	CFR 1.4):	
For further explanation of the amendment format requi	red by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOT	TICE:		
<ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-offiled after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmentire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>	nit the non-compliant after-final am		
<ol> <li>Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, or correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one (including a submission for a request for continued amendment filed within a suspension period under Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are chon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 (a)</li> </ol>	of the following: a preliminary amed examination (RCE) under 37 CFR r 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an an hecked, the correction required is c	endment, a non-fir k 1.114), a supple mendment filed in	nal amendment mental response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFF amendment or an amendment filed in response		t amendment is a	non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will res Abandonment of the application if the non-offiled in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-companent in the non-comp	compliant amendment is a non-fina apliant amendment is a preliminary		

571-272-8042

Telephone No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The amended claims received on 2/20/2007 are not a properly amended. The applicant is reminded that the amended claims received on 11/20/2006 were not entered and the applicant should be making amendments to the preliminarily amended claims received on 10/14/2005. For example, the claims received on 2/20/2007 are not proper because, "combining polarized beams into an output" is in claim 1 twice and is not underlined when the claims from 10/14/2005 only claimed "combining polarized beams into an output" once. The examiner requests that the applicant double check any further amendments to the claims before submission to the USPTO to ensure compliance.

Stephone B. Allen

Supervisory Patent Examiner